

Miller-Levy, Brooke <[bmillerlevy@usbr.gov](mailto:bmillerlevy@usbr.gov)>

---

**FW: FW: Tracy Fish Facility Improvements**

1 message

---

**Larry Bauman** <[lbauman@cvpwater.org](mailto:lbauman@cvpwater.org)>  
To: Brooke Miller-Levy <[BMillerLevy@usbr.gov](mailto:BMillerLevy@usbr.gov)>  
Cc: Craig Stroh <[cstroh@usbr.gov](mailto:cstroh@usbr.gov)>

Wed, Nov 6, 2013 at 11:30 AM

Hi Brooke –

I just wanted to keep you apprised of what I would consider an unusual / abnormal cost allocation issue that we're trying to get clarification on but for which apparently no decision has been made. It is these types of random, uncommon issues that make the CVP cost allocation so challenging. I thought that I had "cc'ed" you on this matter originally, but that didn't happen for whatever reason.

I would like this issue added to CVPWA's list of concerns and seek an answer to this as part of Reclamation's final allocation. Please contact me should you have any questions. Thanks.

Larry

**From:** STROH, CRAIG [mailto:[cstroh@usbr.gov](mailto:cstroh@usbr.gov)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 30, 2013 3:11 PM  
**To:** Larry Bauman  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Tracy Fish Facility Improvements

Larry,

I honestly don't know. It may take some event to force a decision.

Craig

On Wed, Oct 30, 2013 at 3:06 PM, Larry Bauman <[lbauman@cvpwater.org](mailto:lbauman@cvpwater.org)> wrote:

Thanks for the update Craig. Do you know when a decision will be made?

Larry

**From:** STROH, CRAIG [mailto:[cstroh@usbr.gov](mailto:cstroh@usbr.gov)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 30, 2013 2:26 PM  
**To:** Larry Bauman  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Tracy Fish Facility Improvements

Hi Larry,

I have discussed the issue with several staff in the office of policy in Denver and no determination has been made. An important consideration would be the nature and scope of the modifications or improvements occurring after 1965. Following 1965 mitigation costs are reimbursable so that improvements in that time period, if major, might be thought of as a "new" project, the costs of which could be reimbursable. No decision, however, has been made.

Craig

On Wed, Oct 30, 2013 at 2:14 PM, Larry Bauman <[lbauman@cvpwater.org](mailto:lbauman@cvpwater.org)> wrote:

Hi Craig -

I know that you all have not been in the office due to the shutdown, but just wanted to get a status update on my 9/18/13 request shown below. Thanks.

Larry

---

**From:** Larry Bauman [mailto:[lbauman@cvpwater.org](mailto:lbauman@cvpwater.org)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 18, 2013 9:36 AM  
**To:** 'STROH, CRAIG'  
**Subject:** Tracy Fish Facility Improvements

Hi Craig –

I hope that this message finds you doing well. I need your help and was wondering if you can you tell me how these new costs will be handled (reimbursable or non-reimbursable) in Reclamation's cost allocation process. As you know, the original costs of this facility was non-reimbursable based on the time period (1946 – 1965) which it was built. However, I'm not sure about any improvements that were made over time (including these new ones) and fall outside the specified time frame.

Please contact me should you have any questions. Thanks.

Larry

Larry Bauman, ***Executive Director***

***Central Valley Project Water Association***

Phone: 916-448-1638

Cell: 916-208-9815

Fax: 916-446-1063